Evaluation of the federal Prosecutor Yves NICOLET

Federal Prosecutor.

«Works» at the Prosecutors' Office of the Confederation, Lausanne antenna,

Route de Chavannes 31, Case postale, 1001 Lausanne

Private address:

chemin du Caudoz 46 1009 Pully

Phone Workplace: 0041 58 484 33 00

Maritial status: married with Alessandra Pascale NICOLET, Vice-President of the juvenile court VD, and member of the comite of the Pestalozzi School at Echichens. At least one child.



Unfortunatly, no photograph shot in the street of this Vaudois/Federal Clerk is yet available. Please contact us, if you can furnish a picture of this individual.



Mailbox of Yves + Alessandra Pascale NICOLET

Shots of the house



Residence of the couple NICOLET, view from the chemin du Caudoz



View of the villa from South East



View from South West

Fiscal Taxation of NICOLET, 2010



ADMINISTRATION CANTONALE DES IMPÔTS Route de Berne 46 1014 Lausanne

: 021'316'00'00

Affaire traitée par :

N/réf. : A rappeler dans toute correspondance

Lausanne, le 22 juin 2012

RENSEIGNEMENTS FISCAUX

Identification de la demande

Date de la demande :

Informations générales

Personne concernée :

Nicolet Yves 1009 Pully

Période fiscale :

2010

Revenu et fortune

Revenu imposable ICC (800):

102 000

Fortune imposable (800):

122 000

Emoluments

Un émolument de 50 francs

Portrait of Yves NICOLET

Born in 1970.

Lawyer. He started his job career in 2000 as a clerk at the Vaudois cantonal court, where he was trained on the job in cronyism. In 2004, he was promoted cantonal investigating Judge. One of his first tasks consisted in the preparation of the two show trials against APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE, which have taken place in 2006 before the Court of **WINZAP** respectively in 2007 before the court of **SAUTEREL**.

The career trampoline of NICOLET – APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE – Repression of the freedom of expression – Illegal censorship

Usually, the task of NICOLET should have ended after having investigated on a one way route, exclusively on charge. In the present case, the resulting two trials are huge judiciary frauds, having served for repressing the freedom of expression. NICOLET will continue to take care of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE, until the promotion on the position as federal Prosecutor, early 2016. He will have spent 11 years with that job. **It has become the trampoline for his professional career.**

The heavy condemnations of 8 activists of the citizens' initiative APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE, having as objective the sanitation of the judiciary apparatus by non-violent means had not resolved the problem of credibility of the corporation of Lawyers, since the voluminous Internet sites of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE had remained on line. Plenty of judiciary blunders had been documented on them, and of course as well the two mentioned phony trials in detail, followed up to their issue at the European Court of Human Rights.

We have restarted to put back the whole lot on the Web. See: www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_winzap-e.htm

NICOLET found an accomplice to pursue his objective in the person of the Lawyer of Gruyère, **Michel TINGUELY** – the eternal plaintiff. With the help of this abusing plaintiff, NICOLET continued to harass Marc-Etienne BURDET and Gerhard ULRICH. As a matter of fact, NICOLET served as accomplice to the law infringements committed by TINGUELY. The latter has forged among others wrong proofs (see letter of Gerhard ULRICH of December 10, 2012 to NICOLET below. (Most of the mentioned links are not any longer activated, and others are still active, but censured by the care of NICOLET.)

This letter is denouncing the manoeuvres of NICOLET, who has made use among others of the illegal and highly poisonous practice of the double file for one and the same affair: one file accessible to the accused, and a parallel, more voluminous file exploited exclusively by the henchmen. This allows to hide away unwanted elements, that is to say exculpatory proofs.

To foil TINGUELY, ULRICH managed to have the e-mail exchanges of TINGUELY sequestrated, among others his e-mail correspondence with the hosting provider c9c (the host of the former Web Sites of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE). NICOLET had reacted on such requests by denials of justice. But in early 2013, the file had moved on to other hands, and this sequestration was realized. However, TINGUELY requested instantly the sealing of the sequestered documents, and up to September 2016, ULRICH did not yet succeed to obtain the unsealing, since TINGUELY would be lost. When it will happen, his unscrupulous cheating will become obvious.

At the start of 2008, at a time when I lived in the underground, I was reading in the Newspapers that NICOLET had launched the reinforcement of the censorship, for struggling against what he calls «Cyber criminality». In reality, his endeavour is illegal censorship without a legal basis. Any restriction of fundamental rights as the freedom of expression must be based on a law. Serious restrictions have to be regulated anyway by legislation. No regular Court has ever pronounced a formal decision, ordering the censoring of our Web Sites. — In addition, the political discussion may not be suffocated, and **our denunciations of the dysfunction of the Judiciary is without any doubt a political discussion, in the public interest.**

Due to a slip of another eternal plaintiff, deceased in the meantime, I got to know in December 2012 that this censorship had the procedure number PE03.0183380-YNT. When I requested the right to consult that file, NICOLET replied to me that I was not party of that procedure.

It is obvious that this procedure PE03.0183380-YNT is closely related to the trials presided by WINZAP and SAUTEREL. We have thus to do with an illegal parallel file. I made the observation, that this practice is quite often applied by the Prosecutor's Office of the canton of Vaud, and as well in the workshops of the dark room of the Nation (Prosecutors's Office of the Confederation). A blunder is risking to occur sooner or later, and it has happened in this case: In May 2014, one did place in the file PE011.011617 accessible to me by mistake 9 decisions concerning the censorship established by NICOLET. I got hold of it in 2016, after having asked my Lawyer ex officio to consult the file. The successor of NICOLET, a man named Stéphane COLETTA, phoned too late to my lawyer, saying that those 9 decisions were not part of my file. Reaction of my Lawyer: How would it be that they were not part of the file, whereas they were found there?

Here the 9 decisions:

Order of December 18, 2007:

Nicolet orders to 21 providers of access to the Internet to censor the Web Sites of Gerhard ULRICH and Marc-Etienne BURDET, by falsifying the DNS (Domain Name System). The Surfer being client of a provider applying the censorship, will open after a long waiting the mendacious message saying: «This page cannot be displayed». The cheated Surfer will presume the page not to exist.

NICOLET did pick up the anti-constitutional judgment of **WINZAP** of November 24, 2006, according to which the infringements of honour displayed on Internet would not fall under the prescription, that is to say that they would be continuous violations. Even the practice of the Federal Court is annihilating such a pretention (ATF 6S.184/2003 of September 16, 2003, ATF 142 IV 18 of December 2nd, 2015).

Judgement of May 29, 2008:

The cantonal «Judges» VD Jean-Jacques ROGNON, **Jean-François MEYLAN** and François MEYLAN rejected the recourse of Sunrise and Télé2 Telecommunications Services AG against said decision.

Order of December 17, 2008:

NICOLET orders to 180 Swiss providers of access to the Internet to censor the Sites of Gerhard ULRICH and Marc-Etienne BURDET. In this decision, NICOLET is writing himself on page 5 in medio that there does not exist a legal basis for falsifying the DNS!

Judgment of July 1st, 2009:

The cantonal «Judges» VD **Joël KRIEGER** (8 negative references in our database), **Bertrand SAUTEREL** (11 negative references – classified Mafia Judge!) and Anne RÖTHENBACHER (4 negative references) are rejecting the recourse of Cyber link AG against the decision of NICOLET of January 17, 2008.

On page 3, under the point D, one gets to know that the Attorney General VD, Eric COTTIER did recommend to reject the recourse.

Decision of the Federal Court of October 21, 2009:

The federal «Judges» Michel FÉRAUD (16 negative references), **Heinz AEMISEGGER** (29 negative references, containing various lies of this Magistrate) and **Bertrand REEB** (28 negative references, among others for obvious lies) declared by ATF 1B_242/2009 of October 2009 the recourse of Cyber link AG as inadmissible.

One gets to know that this censorship «is aiming first of all to avoid the commitment of new violations». «The criticized measure is not aiming to carry out an enforceable judgment of condemnation» «It is taking on a provisional character until the pronunciation of a definite decision or judgement (...)». Page 3 in fine.

This provisional measure is now (in 2016) lasting since 9 years, and is well the illegal follow-up of the perjured condemnations pronounced by **WINZAP** and **SAUTEREL**.

Order of December 11, 2009:

Nicolet orders to Google Switzerland GmbH not to reference any longer in Switzerland the Web Sites of Gerhard ULRICH and Marc-Etienne BURDET.

Order of December 22, 2009:

After having received a mail from Google, specifying that the administration of the research engines is located in the United States, NICOLET is revoking his former decision, and replacing it by a new order, not to reference any longer our Web Sites in Switzerland, adapted to the given situation.

Order of December 14, 2009:

NICOLET is extending his censorship to the mirror domain www.swiss-justice.net, by ordering 5 providers of Internet access to falsify the DNS.

Order of September 26, 2013:

NICOLET orders to 5 Internet access providers to censor **definitely** the Web Sites of Gerhard ULRICH and Marc-Etienne BURDET (page 6). This is in contradiction with the ATF 1B_242/2009 of October 21st, 2009.

His motivation on page 3 is nonsense, as the reader can verify himself. NICOLET makes on page 5 in fine the statement that this decision is not notified to Marc-Etienne BURDET and Gerhard ULRICHlrich, and he lies: « ... since the latter ones are not directly harmed by the blocking of the access to the incriminated Sites, and consequently, they cannot pretend to be third parties concerned by the acts of the procedure (....)».

NICOLET knows by experience that the lies of any first Judge become subsequently procedural truths and are not any longer queried by the actual rotten judiciary system.

On the other hand, NICOLET did serve himself massively with the judiciary ambush in which Marc-Etienne BURDET and Gerhard ULRICH have been caught by the fraudulent condemnations pronounced by **WINZAP** and **SAUTEREL:** Starting with December 17, 2008 onwards, he sent his decisions as copies to the Prosecutors' Offices of all Swiss cantons, repeating each time the impressive judiciary records of BURDET and ULRICH, as one was dealing with terrorists. In Switzerland, judiciary records are reputed to reflect the reality, but this is nonsense. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_winzap-e.htm

The «Judges» KRIEGER, SAUTEREL and RÖTHENBACHER copied eagerly that lesson given by the little investigating Judge NICOLET: at their turn, they copied their decision of July 1st, 2009 to Kréti and Pléti, always repeating the awful judiciary records of BURDET and ULRICH, as one dealt with extremely dangerous jihadists.

On March 22, 2016 I lodged a complaint against Yves NICOLET for censoring illegally the Internet and abuse of authority, addressed to the Attorney General of the Confederation Michel LAUBER, who ignored it until I lodged another complaint for denial of justice. Then, he had the original complaint been transferred to the Attorney General VD, Eric COTTIER, as an issue of «his competence». On July 29, I challenged him. COTTIER sent this request of challenge to the cantonal court VD, and reading between the lines, one understands quite well that it is granted beforehand to have Ulrich run against a wall. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-08-08_cottier_a_mpc.pdf

As a matter of fact, the «Judges» Christophe MAILLARD (3 negative references), **Joël KRIEGER** (8 negative references) and Guillaume PERROT (3 negative references) were in a hurry to reject this request of challenge by their decision 528 of September 8, 2016.

Conclusions

From their perspective, the cantonal «Judges» VD ROGNON, F. MEYLAN, J.-F. MEYLAN, KRIEGER, SAUTEREL, RÖTHENBACHER, MAILLARD and PERROT, as well as the federal «Judges» FÉRAUD, AEMISEGGER and REEB, all heavily ear-marked in our database, had thus a very lively personal interest to corroborate the censorship which protected them against critics. By cooperating to censor the Web Sites of Marc-Etienne BURDET and Gerhard ULRICH, they did not act in the public interest, but were preaching to their own parish, because they were all very well aware of having been recorded e.g. on the portal

www.swiss-justice.net/blacklist

These people do not have the required honesty to exercise their function as Magistrates, since they took advantage to offer themselves a service, for preventing the Swiss population to continue to consult their misdeeds on Internet.

It has to be retained that Switzerland is enforcing the censorship exactly in the same way as China and North Korea, by falsifying the DNS. But Switzerland has a far more sophisticated censorship system than those dictatorships, for its residents are ignoring at what point they are censored, and they continue to believe to live in a constitutional state. The Chinese and North Koreans do not have such illusions.

Hobbies of NICOLET:

Jogging – Marathon, 20 km of Lausanne

Publications concerning Yves NICOLET:

www.swiss1.net/info/appel-au-peuple/doc.1/dossier-vol www.swiss1.net/info/vd-zensur

www.swiss1.net/info/vd-ulrich-censure5

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-08-08_cottier_a_mpc.pdf

Some victims of this cheating judiciary officer:

Marc-Etienne BURDET

Gerhard ULRICH

Danielle RUSSELL

Birgit SAVIOZ

Michel RUBATTEL

Gil BEURET (see www.worldcorruption.info/ulrich.htm - Letter of June 3, 2016 to the Attorney General of the Confederation, Michael LAUBER)

List of references (observations collected since the year 2000):

Number of negative references: 8

Number of positive references: 0

NICOLET did systematically violate the law at the costs of Marc-Etienne BURDET and Gerhard ULRICH, and he has been promoted in early 2016 to the position as federal Prosecutor, compensating him for the illegal services offered to his corporation. It is a poorly hidden form of corruption. See my book *The Album of Dishonour*, chapter IX.

All Links in red have been censored illegally by the Prosecutor Yves NICOLET, by secrete procedure.

See letter to NICOLET, dated December 10, 2012 below:

- 1 -

Gerhard ULRICH

Avenue de Lonay 17 1110 Morges Phone 021 801 22 88 December 12, 2012

Yves NICOLET, «Prosecutor» Avenue de Longemalle 1 1020 Renens Your references: PE11.011617-YNT PE11.015055-YNT PE12.006737

How the Lawyer TINGUELY MICHEL got sponsored

or

The ingratitude of MICHEL TINGUELY

or

The sprayed Sprinkler

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely

My very dear Sir,

Having the aim to assist you in your heavy task and recognizing your sadic pleasure to jail citizens in penal colonies at the price of more than 131'000.00 Francs per year at the costs of the plucked tax payers, I offer you here a **report of the situation** concerning the state of your mentioned absurd files.

Your cronies Angela & Eric COTTIER and Caroline & Eric MERMOUD are reading me in copy; the public is reading it here:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/121210

- 1 -

- 2 -

One does not see, how you want to forge your procedural truths, since it appears that the absolute prescription has been reached since a long time in this case, may this amuse you are not in your partiality.

Alas, it seems that you are relying on the anti-constitutional judgement of the governmental clerk **WINZAP** of November 24th, 2006, who has scribbled wrongly that honour infringements on the Web would constitute an ongoing violation (page 56/57 of said judgement). The infringement of honour would than become

comparable to **genocide** and **crimes against humanity** which do never prescribe. This is demonstrating all the absurdity of such an approach. It is in contradiction with the jurisprudence of the Swiss Federal Court (FC). Furthermore, the topic is quite well documented here, with references to the jurisprudence of the FC:

www.justicepool.org/login/swiss1.org/stgb97-173/data

Anyway, for your information, the Commission of the United Nations for human rights has ruled in 2012 that the criminalisation of honour infringements is violating the freedom of expression, and is incompatible with article 19 of the international Convention relative to civil and political rights. I suppose to know that Switzerland has signed that convention on June 18, 1992. Of course, your petty spirit of a Vaudois clerk will not take into consideration such details.

My conflicts with the native of Gruyère TINGUELY Michel did start early 2002 www.tinguely-avocat-bulle.com (denunciation of the affair Birgit Savioz, since December 24, 2001). The court WINZAP did condemn me on November 24, 2006 for alleged infringements to his honour. Since then, he did not relax to produce mountains of files, continuing to harass me by penal procedures. As he is greedy after money, he is desperately attempting to make profits. Here a summary of the last two years:

- 2 -

- 3 -

On October 7, 2010, the court **PELLET** in Vevey did put the plaintiff **TINGUELY** and the accused **ULRICH** under pressure to sign a convention for ending the conflict, already 8 years old at that moment.

Subsequently to that engagement, I did purge my Sites of the name of the plaintiff: www.swiss-justice.net/tinguely; www.googleswiss.com/tinguely & www.swissjustice.net/tinguely. As agreed with the court **PELLET**, I did thus respect my engagement.

In parallel, **TINGUELY** did address himself for the very first time on November 8, 2010 at 9 a.m. to the hosting provider of my Sites, i.e. c9c International Networks, for demanding the outright suppression of my portals.

c9c did reply (within two hours following that mail) by an explicit and substantial answer of several pages to that TINGUELY, confirming the existence of the Domain www.swissjustice.net, as well as its Mirror www.swiss-justice.net . c9c replied that there was no way to close down the complete, very voluminous sites, because of a few incriminated pages of any private person. On the other hand, this hosting provider invited TINGUELY to communicate to them his desires of purging concerning both Domains, and offered to suppress instantly the pages concerning him, provided that he indicated the specific and exact links to be eliminated, specifying simply the corresponding URL.

My host provider informed me, and I agreed, because this relieved me from the concern to have possibly overlooked computer corpses. Subsequently, **TINGUELY** did exchange additional e-mails with c9c, among others by getting lost to praise the king of Thailand, and providing to these Web specialists' lessons for

- 3 -

- 4 -

the French language. In his mails, he referred unequivocally to the previous mails. This is confirming that he has well received replies, and he even did relaunch his correspondence with c9c, always by making reference to the previous mails. Each time, he got an answer. But TINGUELY did destroy all his e-mail exchange with c9c; in this way, he has destroyed evidences. But he did not think of the overall supervision of e-mails in Switzerland, a Police nosy state, See www.swiss1.net/staatswanzen4

www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2115 www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2132

These mails demonstrate among others that **TINGUELY** www.tichavoc.net has had the option already end of 2010 to have deleted instantly all contents which were disturbing him and which he knew. He left them willingly online. He did intentionally and deliberately postpone the lodging of his complaint beyond the legally prescribed, inflexible deadline, because he had irrefutably knowledge of the alleged new infringements of his honour, at the moment, when he addressed himself to c9c, and got their answers.

Only on June 16, 2011, **TINGUELY** lodged complaint against me, containing 38 (thirty eight!) pages, adding with the enclosures 2 kg of paperwork because of these forgotten publications which had survived unbeknownst by me, having just the aim to satiate his need of revenge, and to demolish my chances for a conditional liberation. He did intentionally let pass the deadline to lodge his complaint. Such a behaviour is an obvious abuse of law. For that reason as well, these new complaints of **TINGUELY** are to be closed down instantly.

On August 4, 2012, you, Mister «Prosecutor» **NICOLET**, had my laptop sequestrated at my home. This computer has not been given back to me, although the report of the criminal Police VD of August 9, 2011 was discharging me, since it does not contain any hint of a law infringement:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/110809

1

- 5 -

On September 7, 2011, **TINGUELY** did lodge another complaint against me (nine pages). He charged me with absurd accusations of publications which are not under my control, or even to have threatened him by e-mail on September 4th, 2011 (at that date I have been in prison, I was thus physically in an impossibility to send e-mails): www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/110907. You did judge it wise to suffocate my counter-complaint for slandering denunciation, because that was your pleasure. That is your «Justice» à la Vaudoise!

On September 8, 2011 (one week after my conditional liberation), a long deliberation/attempt of reconciliation interrogation did take place under your direction:www.swiss-justice.net/id/nicolet/110908. It did not succeed. Only at that moment I got to know that some computer corpses bearing the name of the plaintiff had missed my attention.

TINGUELY admitted himself at that occasion to have taken the initiative to contact c9c. But he pretends wrongly to have received back automatic replies. He did intentionally lie in a cunning way. This is a crummy lie coming from a Lawyer.

On November 25, 2011, my lawyer has requested to produce the complete correspondence exchanged between TINGUELY and c9c. In his written reply of December 5, 2011, TINGUELY has pretended to have lost most of this correspondence, because of the exchange of his «vieilles tours» (computers), which had occurred on February 10, 2011. To that letter, TINGUELY did enclose three sheets of paper of an alleged correspondence with c9c. On the first sheet, the date of December 5, 2011 is printed in the foot of the page. That means that it is not the alleged correspondence, but a sheet edited that day, the day when

- 5 -

- 6 -

he did reply to you. On the second and third sheet, one finds under «Sent» the hours «20:01» and «20:07» — no date. It is obvious that this is a poor forging. A hosting provider would never miss to indicate the date of the dispatch. One has thus to presume that **TINGUELY** made himself guilty of forged documents, with the aim to induce the justice into error, being covered by your care for him. **TINGUELY** is not the harmed person but the law infringer, and you are not an impartial investigator, but the partner of the presumed cheater.

It is however of paramount importance for establishing the facts and to search the truth to edit the mentioned exchange of correspondence for completing the file. For that reason, my lawyer did reiterate on August 13, 2012 the request of a house search at the residence of **TINGUELY**, and to revive with forensic technology the deleted data on his computer media for counter-balancing his manoeuvres and attempts to hide evidences, or even forged documents. Since you gave to **TINGUELY** the necessary time for making the searched for evidences to disappear, my Lawyer has requested alternatively the sequestration of the integral e-mail exchange of TINGUELY and c9c at the end of 2010, by the providers of the first one, that is the Gruyère Energie, Daniel TORNARE, Rue de l'Etang 10, 1630, respectively Easygiga SA, Route de St-Julien 184, 1227 Plan-les-Ouates. In Switzerland, a totalitarian Police state, the Law on Supervision of the Telecommunication obliges the providers as bluewin.ch, sunrise.ch, highspeed.ch, gmail etc. to explore in the slightest details all mails of their clients, to register all data of mails and to communicate who is communicating with whom. www.swiss1.net/staatswanzen4 See and

www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2115

and www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2132

-6-

- 7 -

Being a «Prosecutor», you have to apply that law imperatively. Alas, you did prefer to respond up to date with a denial of justice. Herewith I reiterate the request of my Lawyer of August 13, 2012 for preserving means of proofs in proper time. However, until today, the only thing you did so far, was to attempt to hide the real facts by censoring www.euro-justiz.net/zensur

Hawing been carried away by compassion for this **TINGUELY**, crying over his own sad destiny, I did request on November 1st, 2011 your agreement for opening 2 portals for sponsoring him:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/111001

After having acknowledged your tacit agreement (see for the details my mails of October 1st and 28, 2011), I opened on November 17th, 2011 the nice Domains www.tinguely-avocat-bulle.com and www.tichavoc.net

On December 20, 2011, I launched my Christmas offer for a taking over of these two Premium Domains (recognized as such by the searching engines of google), by the concerned; it goes without saying that you were kept informed. See: www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/111220

On March 21st, 2012, **TINGUELY** lodged another useless complaint (8 pages + ½ kg of paper), see www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/120321 invoking the Sites www.tichavoc.net and www.tinguely-avocat-bulle.com as the «crime scenes»; consequently, you are risking to be accused of complicity, since you had given in the present case your foregoing tacit agreement. What this new complaint is good for see:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/mermoud/120703.html

On May 31, 2012, acting as the partner in crime of **TINGUELY**, you had another house search taking place in my flat, taking as a pretext the fanciful

- 7 -

-8-

complaint of March 21st, 2012, and you had sequestered my new computer. The report of the criminal Police VD of June 12, 2012 having that subject did reveal strictly nothing, what would have added flesh to bone:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/120612 ; this time, my PC was given back to me.

My goodwill to sponsor the Lawyer **TINGUELYMichel** is thus demonstrated. It is equally demonstrated that the favoured/sponsored did respond ungratefully towards me, his sponsor.

To my regret, these events have forced me to publish online this complete affair under the address www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely, for establishing transparency according to the principle of publicity. My apprehensions, expressed on September 8, 2011

www.swiss-justice.net/id/nicolet/110908 did thus materialize: the plaintiff TINGUELY can just blame himself to have become the sprayed sprinkler. It will be enough to add a link to the nice Domain

www.tinguely-avocat-bulle.com which will lead to the whole file on line www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely for being complete.

Sincerely yours

Gerhard ULRICH

PS concerning the penal procedure No: PE06.029485-PGO (projected trial before the district Court of the East of Vaud of February 4 and 5, 2013):

-8-

- 9 -

TINGUELY Michel is pursuing since years his paranoid objective to have closed down all Internet publications of APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE, whereas he has no and none qualification nor legitimation for such a wacky idea. Nobody can request more of what is beyond his own concern for his person.

Gerhard ULRICH is rightly standing firmly on his position, according to which it is unnegotiable and simply absurd to close down those voluminous Sites

www.appel-au-peuple.org www.swissjustice.net and www.swiss-justice.net only because some few pages are disliked by **TINGUELY**.

After tough negotiations, having lasted two days, the President Marc PELLET of the court of the East of Vaud had pushed the two parties to sign the convention of October 6, 2010 (see his judgments of October 7, 2010). Obviously, TINGUELY Michel did search subsequently a pretext for coming back on his old revindications (the complete shutting down of the portals), that is to say not to respect any longer the signed convention.

By these not very clever manoeuvres described above, he has forced the reactivation of this very old procedure and another audience is fixed for February 4 and 5, 2013 before the court of the East of Vaud.

TINGUELY Michel seems not to have taken into consideration the consequences: If Gerhard ULRICH should be condemned, he would be free of the engagement signed on October 6, 2010. Consequently, the purged contents concerning TINGUELY Michel could be put online again. Result a fortiori: l'arroseur arrosé.



- 10 -

Copies: list, see following page

Links: invoked links, see next page

cc: • *To whom it may concern*

- Publication to the file www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely
- Michel TINGUELY, Route de Riaz 28, Case postale 44, 1630 Bulle
- Me Av.1001 Lausanne, 100.
- Yves et Alessandra Pascale NICOLET, ch. du Caudoz 46, 1009 Pully
- Angela et Eric COTTIER, avenue de Jaman 11, 1005 Lausanne
- Caroline et <u>Eric MERMOUD</u>, chemin de la Cabolétaz 16, 1066 Epalinges

Invoked links:

www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/120612 (report criminal Police)
www.swiss-justice.net/id/tinguely/mermoud/120703.html
www.swiss-justice.net/tinguely
www.swissjustice.net/tinguely
www.googleswiss.com/tinguely
www.tichavoc.net

```
www.tinguely-avocat-bulle.com

www.euro-justiz.net/zensur

www.euro-justiz.net/tor www.euro-justiz.net/tor2

www.euro-justiz.net/proxy (for circumnavigating the censorship ②)

www.justicepool.org/login/swiss1.org/stgb97-173/data

www.swiss1.net/staatswanzen4

www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2115

www.swiss1.net/doc/1007-2132
```

- 11 -

Evaluation of Lawyers

01.10.16/GU