

Rita Rosenstiel

Tél. 044 - 266 26 36

Hugo Mendel-Heim

Billeterstrasse 10

CH-8044 Zurich



Federal Court
Avenue du Tribunal fédéral 29
CH-1000 Lausanne 14

May 18, 2020

I protest that the crime of lawyer Christine Raptis at my expense shall be payed by the taxpayers.

Appeal in civil and constitutional matters against the judgment QD19.017469-200359 87 of April 30, 2020 of the Vaudois cantonal Court, notified on May 2nd, 2020 (enclosure 1) - Deadline: June 1st, 2020

Ladies and Gentlemen

Notorious facts

Judicial crime committed by an organized gang at my expense has been documented on www.worldcorruption.info/rosenstiel.htm - in case of censorship access via proxy <https://kproxy.com/> and by a wide range of media: www.youtube.com/watch?v=EMk4R5uKgsU

Judge" Loichat Mira demolished my life in January 2014, and got the green light from her corporation to continue to mistreat me. This mistreatment accumulated in her attempt to put me under general curatorship, and to cut me off from my only friends. Lawyer Raptis had the right profile for the job, because this woman is reckless. These two women simply ignored my constant challenges, resulting in serial denials of justice. Nevertheless, Raptis suffered a bitter defeat in her ignoble enterprise before the Nyon court. I protest that the crime of lawyer Christine Raptis at my expense shall make her earning CHF 10,000 at the taxpayers' expense. It is unbelievable that this lawyer invested 47 hours with impunity to poison my life, wrongfully filing a complaint against my friends behind my back and without my knowledge - in my name, with the aim of banning contact with my friends!

Grievances

The "Judges" [Joël Krieger](#), [Caroline Kühnlein](#) and [Yasmina Bendani](#) correctly quote jurisprudence, but arrange the facts, bending the law. They cold-bloodedly conceal my grievances contained in my appeal of March 4, 2020 (enclosure 2) - lies by omission. On the other hand, they have the guts to repeat 5 times that "*a curatorship in my favour*" would have been instituted. The irrefutable reality described in the media is that the Vaudois have traumatized me for ever. The Justice of Peace would have doubled her misdeeds to "*determine the need for (my) protection*", and the lawyer would have had "*the task of representing (me) and ensuring that (my) rights and interests are respected*". "The hospital doesn't care about charity. These gossips treated me as an object without any right, and the authors of the judgment under appeal agree with them, and dare to claim that my appeal would not be worthy of consideration!

The actions of the malefactresses frightened me for months. Their punishment is compulsory to restore my human dignity. I therefore have a real interest in opposing that my torturer Loichat Mira rewards her accomplice Raptis on the backs of the taxpayers. The conflict of interest is obvious.

Krieger et al. also lie that I did not challenge the operative part of the contested decision, whereas on page 2 in medio of my appeal of March 4, 2020 you find the sentence "I contest! ».

Conclusion

Since it is established that I have an interest worthy of protection, and since I have challenged the operative part of the decision, the statement in the judgment under appeal that my action would be inadmissible is incorrect, since it infringes the rules of good faith and the prohibition of arbitrariness. Consequently, my action must be declared admissible.

It will be found that there has been an abuse of power, an offence to be prosecuted ex officio.

Request for free procedure: I am entitled to the free AHV and supplementary benefits procedure and I formally request it. If necessary, you will ask the Office des curatelles et tutelles, ch. de Mornex 32, 1014 Lausanne, to provide any additional evidence you may require

With my compliments

Rita Rosenstiel,