

Gerhard ULRICH
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges

February 3rd, 2019

Pierre + Alexandre ROMANENS
Sons of Suzanne SCHAER

In memoriam Suzanne SCHAER, 22.06.1938 – 30.01.2019

*She was a worthy descendant of Gertrud STAUFFACHER. Courageous !
June 17, 2011 has been a turning point in her life. As a devoted St. Bernard she had nursed her severely disabled ex-husband, who had remained at home. On that date, two so-called nurses had attempted to his life. Suzanne had the merit and courage to denounce this assassination attempt without hesitation. The plotters of the Vaudois Judiciary and Politics covered recklessly this crime with the complicity of the muzzled Mass Media:*

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/romanens.htm

By civisme, our brave Suzanne was not shrinking at all. Since she persisted to denounce the crime at the costs of an old person to be eliminated, the Vaudois Judiciary made use of the inversion of accusation for repressing the right of freedom of expression, and the Dean of the Vaudois penal Judges condemned her brutally on March 26, 2018 to 60 day-fines for having said the truth:

www.trial-watch.com/blog/le-proc%C3%A8s-du-26-mars-2018-apremadol-csuzanne-schaer

She had hoped until the last moment to be able to face the cantonal Judge plotters at her appeal trial fixed for January 17, 2019. She was determined to defy these crooks. If she would have had the forces to show up, she would have presented her last words, contained in the enclosure. I have the power of attorney to pass it on.

13 days later, on January 30, 2019 she has left this world. – serene until the end.

Your mother has given the example to be followed.

With my condolences

Gerhard ULRICH

The last words of Suzanne SCHAER before the Vaudois Court of Appeal – January 17, 2019

I remind you my right for the immunity of speech, while pronouncing my last words.

The background of the affair is an assassination attempt committed by the 2 nurses Augustine ANKER and Aurore BARBE on June 17, 2011 at the costs of the seriously disabled and old Jacques ROMANENS, my ex-husband, in his flat in Renens. See detailed analysis contained in the file, respectively on www.worldcorruption.info/eng/romanens.htm

The scandal has been covered up by the Vaudois politico-judiciary mafia. I am denouncing this crime in the public interest. I did earn a penal complaint.

The 1st President of the district Court of Lausanne, the Dean of the Vaudois penal Judges, [Pierre BRUTTIN](#) did condemn me for alleged calumny to 60 day-fines. It has to be said that my judiciary register did remain virgin up to my actual age of 80 years. The criminals are enjoying impunity and the citizen who tells the truth is criminalized. It is the inversion of accusation for repressing the Right of freedom of expression.

*By registered mail of January 3rd, 2019, I have submitted to you my request to summon 4 protagonists of this plot, since penal trials ought to be contradictory for making the search of the truth possible. I had enclosed a short questionnaire for each one of them. Since they have not be summoned to court, the following facts are deemed admitted (*in read*), as announced in said request. Here the parts played by these criminals:*

1. Augustine ANKER, nurse (the angel of death)

Seen the medical certificat of the CHUV of March 22nd, 2011, ANKER knew exactly that the late Jacques ROMANENS was stroken with serious illnesses, causing a high risk for wrong ways. She forced this patient, nourished partly by a gastric feeding tube to swallow orally the alimentation liquid (isosource), being fully aware of the death risk of such an act.

Seen the diagnosis of the CHUV of June 27, 2011 the patient had presented multiple and repeated infiltrations in the lungs, triggering off a pneumonia, because of the intentional oral administration of the liquid isosource. The nurse ANKER, who had forced the late Jacques ROMANENS to swallow that liquid failed to assassinate him deliberately. Such bronchial aspiration is an horrible torture which cannot remain unnoticed.

The accomplice of ANKER was Aurore BARBE, who was her subordinate at that time and who had continued the torture of this patient after the departure of her boss, forcing him to swallow the isosource.

**2. Manon FAWER, forme Lady Director of APREMADOL
The surveying chief of the crime**

By letter of September 30, 2011, the former director of APREMADOL, Manon FAWER did absolve the nurse ANKER from the accusation of assassination attempt. She has never questioned the victim, the late Jacques ROMANENS, nor did she organize a confrontation between ROMANENS and ANKER. She closed deliberately both eyes, since the elimination of cumbersome old persons is a normal occurrence for FAWER.

ANKER had under her command the nurse Aurore BARBE. The late Jacques ROMANENS has accused them to have acted jointly. In the mentioned letter of September 30, 2011, FAWER overshadowed deliberately to speak about the part played by BARBE for covering the 2 women and her crime.

The victim, the late Jacques ROMANENS has reported that BARBE has been talking via cellular phone with her superior ANKER, while resuming the torture of water boarding. They were communicating via cellular phones put at their disposal by APREMADOL. FAWER did never check the listing of the connections between this 2 phones for covering these two angels of death.

3. Pascal GILLIÉRON, «Prosecutor» of complacency

The Prosecutor Pascal GILLIÉRON was pottering around during 3 years and 7 months for «investigating» the complaint of late Jacques ROMANENS, without ever inviting the plaintiff to be heard, for issueing finally his decision to close the procedure on April 13, 2015, after having been relaunched 3 dozens of times by the succeeding Lawyers of the plaintiff and even a complaint for denial of Justice (evidences in the file). According to GILLIÉRON (see his letter of March 22nd, 2013 to the cantonal Court) an assassination attempt does not have priority. From the start, GILLIÉRON had the intention do cover this crime.

As all Prosecutors, GILLIÉRON is jailing petty criminals in pretrial custody for the needs of investigation, but he did not do so with ANKER, however accused of assassination attempt. This is leading to the conclusion that he did act from the beginning as an accomplice.

For provoking changings of versions / contradictions, Presecutors are used to repeat interrogations and to organize relentlessly confrontations etc. In this case, GILLIÉRON has interrogated ANKER just once, and did avoid to confront her with the plaintiff, or to interrogate her plotter seperately, which would have permitted to yield contradictions. This means that the Prosecutor GILLIÉRON did not want to risk to loose control of the plot.

4. Patrice MANGIN, former director of the Centre Universitaire Romand de Médecine Légale (CURML) – the reliable ally of the Attorney General Eric COTTIER

By mail of March 26, 2013 the Prosecutor GILLIÉRON mandated the former medical examiner Patrice MANGIN as an expert, ignoring the request of the plaintiff to entrust the job to a physician of Otorhinolaryngology of the CHUV, specialized in the matter, whereas MANGIN had studied toxicology. As a matter of fact, MANGIN is a reliable partner of the Attorney General Eric COTTIER, to whom he has delivered in the past expertises of complacency, putting him in a position to have François LÉGERET and Laurent SÉGALAT condemned without any evidence nor avoal to long prison sentences. Therefore it was nothing else than logical to ask MANGIN for another complacency job.

Be it that MANGIN was not given the report of the CHUV of March 22nd, 2011, or be it that he thought is superfluous to refer to it in his reports to GILLIÉRON, MANGIN did not seek to study the complete medical file. That was not necessary, since MANGIN knew very well, what kind of complacency expertise GILLIÉRON was expecting from him.

In these reports, MANGIN has argued extensively about the daily wrong ways of the patient. For him wrong ways are obviously identical with bronchial aspirations. However, the patient had suffered during the last years of his life just twice a bronchial aspiration, of which that one provoked by the angel of death ANKER.

Conclusions

It is well known that Iustitia has blinded eyes. It is predictable that you do not want to see the heinous crime, stifled by the plot of the 4 powers. Anyway, the Internet surfers can verify themselves the consistency of my accusations.

Quotation of Alexandre SOLZHENITSYNE :

«One does recognize a totalitarian system by the fact that the criminals are preserved and the political opponents are criminalized.»

Lausanne, January 17.01.19

Suzanne SCHAER