Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen Avenue de Lonay 17 CH-1110 Morges October 15, 2018



cc. To whom it may concern

Alexis FAVRE Moderator of Infrarouge Télévision Suisse Romande Quai Ernest-Ansermet 20

CH-1205 Geneva

The referendum of the UDC «Swiss Law instead of foreign Judges» Federal polls of November 25, 2018 – TV debates

Dear Mr. FAVRE,

On June 15, 2017 at the annual meeting of the Swiss Lawyers in Lucerne, I heared the former President of the Swiss Federal Court Gilbert KOLLY praising to have managed during his last presidential year to compress the percentage of admitted appeals down to 2,3 %. KOLLY interpreted that fact as the unequivocal evidence that the Swiss Judiciary worked almost perfectly. He insinuated that it was sheer luxury to maintain a supreme Court. Did I understand him correctly? Did he speak about the completly admitted appeals? Of course, the published figurs in printing are relevant, which are not distinguishing between partly and completly admitted complaints:

The highlights of the Federal Court 2016 report 7'858 incoming appeals, of which 1'016 were admitted, which means a chance of succes of 12,9 %. In 2017, 8'029 incoming complaints were recorded, out of which 1'047 were admitted, i.e. a success chance of 13 %. According to the same statistics, 266 Swiss had filed a complaint in 2017 at the European Court of Human Rights. -4 plaintiffs are said to have won in Strasbourg. This is a success rate of 1.5 %.

Life experience lets us presume that 80 % of the applicants know to differentiate good frome evil. According to this logic, 6'423 appeals to the Swiss Federal Court in 2017 were justified (80 % of 8'029), but only 1'047

appeals were admitted. One can thus extrapolate that 6'423 - 1'047 = 5'376 applicants at the supreme Court in 2017 are judiciary victims. One has to add those victims who did not have the energy nor the money for applying to the Federal Court. Subsequently, one can estimate that Switzerland is producing annually 10'000 judiciary victims. I believe Europe to debite 1 mio / year.

If the referendum of the UDC «Swiss Law instead of foreign Judges» would get a majority, Switzerland would produce in the future 4 additional judiciary victims on top of the actual 10'000 / year. From the point of view of persons abused by the system, the political debate between the UDC and the rest of the political elite is thus a sterile fight between Law professors. One is struggeling against the risk to increase the judiciary desaster by 0,1 per thousand.

The Swiss State Television will certainly organize lively debates between the representatives of the adversary political parties. Herewith I am urging you to invite on your plate-form the consumers of the «Justiciary», and in particular the judiciairy victims, contemporary witnesses of the nightmare produced by the irredeemably degenerated system. We are concerned in the first place. I let you know that all opponents of the judiciary apparatus in my European Network from Madrid to Berlin are requesting an external control of the Magistrates. Various models are discussed, but unanimty of opinion is prevailing that the Judiciary ought to be surveyed by non Lawyers from the outside. This is the end of the separation of powers, i.e. the dogma of Montesquieu wrongly interpreted by the politicians, to whom it is serving as a Fig leave for evading to take the responsability to reinstate law and order. Foreign Judges, yes or no – the problem is elsewhere. A completly new judiciary system is needed in order to make the tyranic syndicate of the Judges, representing the interests of the worldwide establishment obsolete.

I am aware that I am not any longer visible on the radar screens of the good-hearted journalists of the Mainstream Mass Media. I have thus no illusion that you would invite me to participate at these public debates. But for heavens sake, invite at least massively other judiciary victims who will present knowingly the problems in your emmissions.

My network is reading me in copy, and I encourage my Swiss friends to endeavour to be invited by you.

Sincerely yours

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen