

Gerhard ULRICH

December 29, 2017

*Former political prisoner - Founder +
former President of the association of
APPEAL TO THE PEOPLE
Network SALVE EUROPA!
Avenue de Lonay 17
CH-1110 Morges*



Cantonal Court
*Chamber of penal appeals
Route du Signal 8
1014 Lausanne*

My 14th penal trial – The black Spider

***Motivated Appeal against the «judgement» of the «Judge» Véronique
PITTET-VUILLÈME of November 28.11.17 – Tribunal n° 341/2017, PE17.001616-VPT***

Ladies and Gentlemen,

*Your court is composed of 8 cantonal Judges + 1 deputy Judge, out of which 7
are heavily earmarked for their criminal energy:*

Aleksandra FONJALLAZ

Yasmina BENDANI

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_bendani-e.pdf

Blaise BATTISTOLO

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_battistolo-e.pdf

Bertrand SAUTEREL

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_sauterel-e.pdf

Pierre-Henri WINZAP

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_winzap-e.pdf

Marc PELLET

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_pellet-e.pdf

Sandra ROULEAU

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_rouleau-e.pdf

Patrick STOUDMANN

Muriel EPARD (suppléante)

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_epard-e.pdf

In this case, I denounced for civicism the heinous crime of the Freemason Claude BUDRY, who had set fire on August 31, 2002 at Nonfoux VD to the farm of his neighbour, the farmer Jakob GUTKNECHT and his own one, adjacent to it, for committing an insurance fraud. Subsequently, GUTKNECHT has been condemned wrongly with premeditation for alleged arson by negligence – said to have stored badly dried hay, causing its self-ignition.

*This plot was committed with the participation of 26 Vaudois Magistrates, out of which there were your 5 «Judges» BATTISTOLO, BENDANI, PELLET, SAUTEREL and WINZAP. See www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm This complete publication has been submitted to the file as hard copy. See as well list of the plotters, reproduced in my incidental request of **November 27, 2017**, being an integrated part of the attacked *judgement*, page 9:*

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2017-11-27_pittet-e.pdf

Obviously, these «Judges» will confirm my condemnation for slander, and pretend their brother BUDRY to be white as snow, whereas the slanderer is precisely Claude BUDRY, supported by the slanderer ex officio PITTET.

needless to say yours instance is not an independent and impartial court in the spirit of article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights.

*In conclusion to this introduction, I reiterate my challenge of all Vaudois Magistrates, according to my detailed motivation contained in my requests of **October 18, 2017** and **November 27, 2017** (copies enclosed).*

Admissibility

*The attacked *judgement* was notified on December 14, 2017. The deadline of 20 days is reached on January 3rd, 2018. Dispatched by registered mail today, this motivated appeal is thus formally admissible.*

The facts

On November 4, 2016, I published on line the file

www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

The same evening, I distributed a flyer, resuming the crimes of BUDRY, at the exit of a Freemason conference presented in the castle of Yverdon-les-Bains. I was assaulted by half a dozen brothers, suffering of prosperity overweight who brutally tore up my leaflets. They clearly felt to be concerned. However, BUDRY kept low profile. It can be legitimately supposed that this individual has been

sentenced by a Freemason court. According to my sources, the affair of the ruined farmer is an ongoing subject of conversation among the brothers in the region. They must really dislike it, since not all Freemasons are black sheep.

Subsequently, we distributed 10'000 flyers, dated January 11th, 2017 between Estavayer-le-Lac, Avenches, Nonfoux, Yverdon, Echallens, Chavornay, Orbe and Grandson. This has triggered off the abusive complaint of BUDRY. He knows precisely that I am telling the truth.

This complaint has been «dealt with» by the «Prosecutor» Gabriel MORET. Although I submitted to the file all documents contained in my thorough analysis presented on www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

This servant of the Attorney General Eric COTTIER, eager for future promotion, willing to deserve the favours of this Chief Engineer of corruption in the Canton of Vaud, did violate his duty, since he did not investigate on discharge at all. He even did not retain the exculpatory documents submitted to the file, which allowed him to be in the position to condemn me wrongly. Consequently, his decision is false.

Having objected this forged condemnation by this crook, the affair was brought on [November 27, 2017](#) before the tables of the «Judge» PITTET-VUILLÈME.

Appreciation of the performance of PITTET-VUILLÈME

This phony trial had started with the refusal without justification of my well-motivated requests presented on [October 18, 2017](#) (copy enclosed). The «Judge» just had accepted the witnesses of morality, since of irrelevant importance, refusing on the other hand the calling to court of 6 exculpatory witnesses, and the summoning of the Inspector of the criminal police Daniel KOLLY (in this case the great cheater, see questions listed to his attention in my incidental request of [November 27, 2017](#), pages 25 – 28).

This utmost serious violation of my fundamental right to call witnesses to court and to interrogate them, according to article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights was jeopardized in advance this simulacrum of a trial.

Since this scandal is the result of a Freemason plot, I requested by circular mail of [September 28, 2017](#) all Vaudois Magistrates to complete and sign a transparency declaration, in view of their possible membership to secret societies (see enclosure). PITTET-VUILLÈME has been served as well. No addressed government officer, nor politician responded. Though, I resubmitted that request another time, especially to this Judge together with my requests of [October 18](#),

2017. She evaded again. This is another very real justification for me to refuse to get blindly ambushed.

When you are facing a plot, it is just logical that the citizens have a right for transparency. For this reason, I presented the [transparency request](#) another time at the start of the trial, as soon as the «Judge» allowed me to speak, addressing my-self to her and the lawyer of the opposite party and to mine.

My own lawyer refused to sign this declaration, and after having mutually acknowledged in «good harmony» the break of confidence, PITTET-VUILLÈME did constraint my former lawyer ex officio, Georges REYMOND from continuing to assume my «defence». Be it out of cowardness or greed (he did not fail to plead to get his lawyer fee), he did allow himself to be dragged into that dishonourable game. This way to proceed is violating article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights, granting to the accused he right to defend himself.

Later on, I insisted on presenting my incidental request of [November 27, 2017](#) of totally 49 pages – 1 page of introduction – 1 page of requests – 7 pages of motivation, out of which half is composed of pictures of evidence of the guilt of BUDRY – 40 pages with questionnaires, demonstrating the absolute need to have the witnesses called to court.

*PITTET-VUILLÈME did not allow me to have the right to be heard. I did not even manage to present the first 2 pages. Hence, at a certain point, she was completely off her rocker, shouting: «The President is there at the trial, not the accused» (page 14 of the [transcription](#) of the recording). And my request to have written down into the minutes the fact, that I was refused the right to be heard, was just ignored (page 14 of said [transcription](#)). However, she accepted to hand over my written incidental request with the 49 pages, as it is evidenced by the [transcription](#), page 15. In spite of having requested this incidental request to be fully integrated in the [judgement](#) to come, PITTET-VUILLÈME overshadowed it completely – for obvious reasons: It would have been impossible to her to condemn me, having included the visual evidences of BUDRY's guilt under the eyes in the judgement. **For this fact, the challenged [judgement](#) is forgery, and PITTET-VUILLÈME made herself guilty of forgery.***

Other, most serious failure evidenced by the audio-recording:

The Judge had authorized me to express my-self in German – my native tongue. But the engaged interpreter was totally incapable of delivering comprehensible

translations. A grievance justifying per se the cancellation of the challenged judgement.

Lies contained in the attacked judgment

First of all, one will retain the lie by omission, characterizing this judgement (see above).

Other concrete lies:

- *On page 5 in medio, the «Judge» is making diversion, pretending that I had «produced a bunch of documents, and as well a USB stick». As a matter of fact, it was just one single document = my incidental request of the day on paper and on a USB stick, facilitating having it integrated into the judgement.*
- *On page 9, the «Judge» is insinuating wrongly that my request of transparency «was finally not produced by Gerhard ULRICH». It can be proven by written evidences and witnesses that this demand of transparency has been indeed submitted to PITTET-VUILLÈME - 3 times: On September 28, 2017, on [October 18, 2017](#) and [November 27, 2017](#).*
- *On page 10 in medio, the «Judge» pretends that «the hearing of these witnesses is not relevant», since this is serving the purpose of the Freemasonic plot, invoking the rotten procedural truth that GUTKNECHT was guilty. The questionnaires presented in my incidental request of [November 27, 2017](#) demonstrate the imperative necessity to call this witnesses to the bar for obtaining their answers to the listed questions.*
- *On page 11 in medio, PITTET-VUILLÈME does have the guts to insinuate «that no argument of challenge enumerated (...) has been seriously invoked... ». Complete nonsense. The single fact that the «Judge» had refused to reveal her possible membership to secret societies is constituting in this case beyond all questions a good argument of challenge.*
- *On page 14 in fine, Véronique did reproduce the lie of BUDRY: « I am not member of the Freemasonry ». – Of course, she arranged things that way, for preventing the administration of the contrary proof, by refusing to call the witness Jakob GUTKNECHT to the bar.*
- *On page 21 in fine, one is using the twisted argument of my former lawyer ex officio, pretending that I had ignored the «wrongness of the allegations». I did make my denunciation of BUDRY in full knowledge of the documents in that file.*

- *On page 22 PITTET-VUILLÈME did write: «On the contrary, the court will retain that the accused knew precisely that his accusations brought forward against Claude BUDRY were wrong. » This is adding insult to injury, seen the thorough analysis contained in*
www.worldcorruption.info/eng/gutknecht.htm

The state of mind of the «Judge» PITTET-VUILLÈME at the audience

The audio-recording is presenting a Magistrate at the edge of depression. See video (in German):

www.youtube.com/watch?v=scnQKuZlFRQ

2 French portals are eternizing as well her hysterical shouting:

<https://echosdesmontagnes.blogspot.ru/2017/12/gerhard-ulrich-tribunal-yverdon-les.html>

www.youtube.com/watch?v=OrcNVkgsao4&feature=youtu.be

A nurse has delivered an [analysis](#), explaining this behaviour (see enclosure):

Put mercilessly under pressure by her hierarchy, who wants to preserve the Freemasonic plot at any costs, this «Judge» lost totally her self-control.

The recording of the debates

It turned out that the recording, prohibited by «the President» for obvious reasons, was an absolute must, since this way, one can prove irrefutably that the challenged [judgement](#) is not at all reflecting what has been said and heard at the audience. This is another facet demonstrating that the attacked judgment is a forgery.

One can only hope that this case will impose henceforward to the Swiss Judges the obligation to accept to have all audiences filmed. There does not exist one single reasonable argument to prohibit it, but to admit it done on the spur of the moment of the «Judges». This will simply prevent the «Judges» from cheating, as they have got accustomed to do presently. I remind you that the Judge Philippe GOERMER has accepted the integral recording of my penal trial of October 10, 2005. Subsequently, I accepted his condemnation, without appealing against it (my action to make justice my-self, by setting fire on my own house).

The following national and international observers were admitted to the audience hall (at least 40 others remained locked out), and they can certify the authenticity of the recording and the [transcription](#):

Jakob GUTKNECHT, VD

Volker HOFFMANN, Germany

Klaus OVERHOFF, Germany

Brigitte BONELLO, France

René FORNEY, France

Joseph JUND, France

Marc-Etienne BURDET, VD

Michèle HERZOG, VD

Christine FISCHER-KNUS, ZH

Elisabeth GERBER-PLETSCHER, ZH

Isabelle FALCOZ, GE (act III)

Denis LAMBELET, VD

Dr.med. Regina MOECKLIP TG

Dr. Katherine HORTON, Germany

Elmar BATTLOGG, Austria

Pierre PITTET, GE

Philippe OBERSON, GE

Pierre VODOZ, GE

Thierry BORNE, France

Giuliano SANCHEZ, TG

Chantal URSCHELER, VD

5 of these persons did certify spontaneously by e-mail the authenticity of the undertaken recording and of its [transcription](#). Copies presented together with the enclosed transcription.

Conclusions

The «Judge» Véronique PITTET-VUILLÈME has furnished herself the evidence of the really existing Freemasonic plot in the Canton of Vaud with the accumulation of her deliberate cheatings.

The challenged [judgement](#) has to be cancelled, and the procedure must restart ab ovo.

The legend of Jeremias GOTTHELF, The black Spider is telling the plague of the Middle Age as the deed of evil – the black Spider which is transmitting the letal disease to all people who are coming in touch with the spider. The Freemasonic plot is the black Spider of our times, and citizens are necessary who are determined to challenge it, like Christine of Lindau who caught the black Spider with her hands, for putting it in captivity, at the sacrifice of her life.

I do not have any illusions that my precise requests presented with my written demands of [October 18, 2017](#), respectively [November 27, 2017](#), and herewith reiterated, will be accepted by the superiors who are the head of that black Spider. But at least, I will have attempted to neutralize this plague of our modern times with determination, in the interest of the future generations.

Finally, I confirm my denunciation of PITTET-VUILLÈME, presented already in my recourse of December 23, 2017 for:

- *Hindrance of the Justice,*
- *Forgery of wrong documents*
- *Abuse of authority, respectively granting illegal advantages*
- *Judiciary crime committed as an organized gang, and membership to a criminal organization*
- *Constraint and attempt of constraint*
- *Slander ex officio*

Her aim to damage me, respectively her bad faith are proven by the accumulation of her intentional cheatings.

Extension is made to the 25 other accomplices presented in my request of November 27, 2017, and for denouncing the lawyer Me Georges REYMOND, who did betray me by accepting the enforced mandate of defence, against my will. He made himself an accomplice of that «Judge».

Morges, December 29, 2017

Gerhard ULRICH of Guntalingen

Enclosures:

Attacked [judgement](#) of November 28, 2017, containing as a complementation my incidental request of [November 27, 2017](#), being an integral part of this judgement, with the copy of the postal envelope containing the forged judgement

Requests of [October 18, 2017](#)

Form of [demand of transparency](#), concerning a possible membership to secret societies

[Analysis](#) of the behaviour of PITTET-VUILLÈME at the audience, established by the nurse Nathalie SCHEIDEGGER

[Transcription](#) of the audio-recording, with 5 written attestations, confirming the authenticity of the transcription, and the recording at the bases