

Gerhard ULRICH

July 28.07 2017

Avenue de Lonay 17

CH-1110 Morges



Jacques Romanens †

Daniel KIPFER-FASCIATI

President of the penal federal Court

CH-6500 Bellinzona

Denunciation of the attempt of liquidation of Jacques ROMANENS

To you, Daniel KIPFER-FASCIATI,

This man was struck by rare illnesses, which left him heavily disabled and vulnerable, exposed to the risk of choking. According to his will, he continued to live in his flat in Renens VD, getting home care.

On June 17 2011 the nurse Augustine ANKER, av. de Montchosi 63, Lausanne and the nursing auxiliary Aurore BARBE of the CMS Renens Nord did attempt to liquidate this troublesome patient by waterboarding. He survived miraculously and was able to witness how these angels of dead had proceeded.

The two women benefitted of the scandalous protection by their hierarchy:

Manon FAWER, Service Manager, ch. des Glycines 10, 1022 Chavannes-près-Renens

Francine JECKER, responsible at the DSAS, Grand'Rue 3, 1162 St-Prex

Karim BOUBAKER, cantonal physician

Exploiting a complacency expertise established by the forensic physician Patrice MANGIN, the «prosecutor» Pascal GILLIÉRON turned down the complaint of Jacques ROMANENS by Order of classification, approved by the «Attorney General» Eric COTTIER, Av. de Jaman 11, Lausanne, in favour of ANKER, with the aim to avoid any risk of a public debate during a trial. The appeals of the plaintiff were buried by the cantonal «Judges» Bernard ABRECHT, r. du Vieux-Bourg 1 Cully, Joël KRIEGER, av. Gratta-Paille 11, Lausanne and Guillaume PERROT; the Vaudois federal «Judge» Christian DENYS, ch. des Crêtes 2a, Lausanne, well known for covering Vaudois corruption cases in series, did as well act as a partner in crime of his Vaudois cronies in this case.

The State Councillors Pierre Yves MAILLARD, r. du Lac 42, Renens and Béatrice MÉTRAUX, ch. du Ru 17, Bottens have been informed of this attempt of liquidation. Both barricaded themselves behind the chimerical separation of powers, becoming thus partners in crime of an assassination attempt at the costs of Jacques ROMANENS, by betraying their political ideals.

Since all federal Judges are challenged, this denunciation is addressed to you as a depositary instance. Details see www.worldcorruption.info/eng/romanens.htm

To you, Daniel KIPFER-FASCIATI

Gerhard ULRICH

PS: Considering the censorship imposed to the poor devils of journalists, prohibiting them today even to drag me in the mud, this flyer is spread massively.

The partners in crime of the liquidation attempt against Jacques ROMANENS



Augustine ANKER,
nurse



Aurore BARBE,
nursing auxiliary



Manon FAWER,
Manager APREMADOL



Francine JECKER
responsable DSAS



Pascal GILLIÉRON
prosecutor



Patrick AUBERSON
prosecutor



Laurent MAYE
prosecutor



Eric COTTIER
Attorney General



Bernard ABRECHT
cantonal Judge



Joël KRIEGER
cantonal Judge



Guillaume PERROT
cantonal Judge



Christian DENYS
federal Judge



Patrice MANGIN
forensic physician



Karim BOUBAKER
cantonal physician



Pierre-Yves
MAILLARD
State Councillor



Béatrice
MÉTRAUX
State Councillor

Did the liquidation of troublesome old persons start ?

The ugly word of guardianship has been replaced by the euphemistic trusteeship in the context of the professionalisation of the «protection of children and adults».

On April 23 2014 the journalist Frederico CAMPONOV0 / 24 Heures denounced the case of Agnes Rita ROSENSTIEL who has been placed under trusteeship and forced to live in the retirement home Nelty de Beausobre in Morges. Practically all her belongings were sold or thrown away. Today, at the age of 93 years, she is still lucid and mobile. She is sitting every day in front of her PC for writing her biography Pourquoi ? (Why?) which will be published soon (Neoprint, Morges).

In January 2015 the RTS television followed with a report on this subject:

<http://pages.rts.ch/emissions/temps-present/societe-mœurs/6334067-places-de-force.html#6442230>



Agnes Rita ROSENSTIEL

In this case, the powers tolerated the publication in the form of a well dosed purifying scandal. The public opinion reacted very lively, since we are all risking to fall one day in such a situation, where other people are disposing of you against your will because of old age. However, the society is unaware of the censorship put in place nowadays in our country.

Our authorities are tolerating much worse cases than that one described above, at the costs of old persons in need of too expensive care. The case of Jacques ROMANENS, victim of a liquidation attempt by two nurses of the CMS Renens Nord on June 17 2011 is probably not unique because the old vulnerable and isolated old persons who were really liquidated cannot witness any more.

For which reason do I dear to voice such a monstrous suspicion?

The way how the authorities of the Département de la Santé et de l'Action Sociale (DSAS – Department of health and social action), assisted by the judiciary Magistrates and the political leaders did stifle this affair by a determination sending chills up the spine, is letting us presume that those people are informed about what is going on and are used to impose the silence in such cases. Anyway, the family members of Jacques ROMANENS never managed to alert the Mass Media for publishing that crime.

*Why do the authorities cover the nurse Augustine ANKER, Avenue de Montchoisi 63, 1006 Lausanne, who has attempted to suffocate Jacques ROMANENS, disabled and without defence ? Do the authorities protect this angel of dead because she may know too many things, or being even the executioner of these sly practices? **Did the liquidation of troublesome old persons start ?***



*In memoriam Jacques ROMANENS (10.09.1934 – 01.11.2015,
contemporary witness of a liquidation attempt of a troublesome patient
The illnesses of Jacques ROMANENS (10.09.1934 – 01.11.2015)*

This patient was suffering of two rare illnesses, i.e. the myasthenic syndrome of Lambert-Eaton, diagnosed in June 2010, and the cerebellar syndrome, discovered in August of the same year ([document 1](#)). His language had become difficult to understand, and he was exposed to the risk of choking. Although heavily disabled and vulnerable, he had decided to continue to live in his flat. The CMS Renens Nord provided the necessary care at his home. In addition, his ex-wife Suzanne SCHAER was very present.

On February 2011 he was seriously choking and had a catastrophic broncho-aspiration. He had to be hospitalized urgently at the CHUV (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois). Impressed by this traumatic experience, the patient changed his habits of alimentation, being afraid of chokings. He lost 20 kg of his weight within one year. The doctors decided to have him hospitalized once more from May 24 to June 13 2011 for introducing a gastric probe (PEG) for a partial artificial nutrition. The report of release to the address of the CMS Renens Nord specified:.

«Is presenting a dysphagia to solids and liquids, important choking. It is necessary to thicken the liquids. Is eating a meal thoroughly mixed with plenty of sauce for binding well altogether? Must use the small spoon, otherwise he is swallowing too big bites.» ([document 2](#)).

The CMS Renens Nord had to intervene again for providing care at home and delivering the meals. A nurse from the laboratories BICHSEL, furnishing the artificial nutrition did train the staff of the CMS Renens Nord how to use this gastric probe.

The troublesome patient

The occupational therapist of the CMS Renens Nord who had been in charge of Jacques ROMANENS had voiced loudly his astonishment that Mister ROMANENS had not yet joined a retirement home. Other humiliating behaviours added up, in order to have Suzanne SCHAER to intervene at the Management of the CMS for obtaining by bad grace the change of the person, taking care of this troublesome patient. He was replaced by the nurse Augustine ANKER, a change to the worse. In the morning of June 17 2011 this nurse attempted to liquidate

the patient, in complicity with the nursing auxiliary Aurore BARBE. Extract from the penal complaint of September 9 2011 of Mr. ROMANENS:

«... in the morning, there remained three or four decilitres in the bag. My referent of the CMS, i.e. Mrs. ANKER, whose first name I am ignoring, decided thus to have me the rest to take in via the mouth. For this purpose, she filled a glass with the product. She forced me to drink it, in spite of my expression of being terrorized and of my contesting. In fact, I was very well aware of the risk that the product would invade my lungs that way and consequently threaten my life. (...) At that very moment, when I understood that Mrs. ANKER wanted to force me to drink this liquid, tasting by the way awfully, I was terrorized. It is impossible that this passed unnoticed by the concerned person, but she proceeded nonetheless against my will. My panic yet increased when she did force me to drink this substance via the mouth and I was choking. The woman watched me peacefully, that picture is sticking in my mind, observing me to suffocate, and thereafter she left without others, leaving on the table the rest of is source (*thereafter, one will also speak of Novasource, since two different liquids had been prescribed for the patient*), just after having filled in the notification book of administered cares, but without signing it. I produce a copy of this care notices.

I remained frozen, paralyzed, and unable to emit the slightest sound. In these conditions the nursing auxiliary found me, coming in as usually for performing my toiletry towards 11 a.m. What a horrible surprise, when I understood that my sufferings had not come to an end. As a matter of fact, in her turn, this nursing auxiliary whose identity I am ignoring, filled a glass with the rest of Isosource, and all by having a phone conversation at low voice, forced me to drink. I was unable to make the slightest movement, I felt destroyed and frozen. Inevitably, there were again chokings, reflux through the nose. Subsequently, the nursing auxiliary left without making any annotations in the care diary. Nonetheless I did survive, although the sufferings were terrible. As a consequence, I had to be hospitalized at the CHUV for 26 days. » ([_document 4](#)).

As a matter of fact, these very heavy chokings caused reiterated broncho-aspirations. Already next day, he had fever temperature and on June 22 2017, a pneumonia overcame. He had to be hospitalized urgently ([document 3](#)).

The irresponsible behaviour of the representatives of the Department of health and social Action (DSAS)

The Lady Manager of APREMADOL of the CMS Renens Nord, Manon FAWER, chemin des Glycines 10, 1022 Chavannes-près-Renens ordered an «inquiry». Of what did this inquiry consist?

She asked the consulting physician of the AVASAD, the Dr. HONGLER to question the accused nurse, and one got confirmed by the supplier of the artificial nutrition that the product was not poisonous when taken in orally.

Subsequently, FAWER hurried to deliver a disculpatory certification in favour of ANKER in the form of letter addressed to Suzanne SCHAEER on September 30 2011. Extracts:

«Henceforward, and taking into consideration the foregoing, we can confirm that our employee has not forced the client at any moment to drink the Novasource. (...) Considering the above specified elements, the management of the APREMADOL does not recognize any professional mistake to have occurred when administering the delegated medical acts, realized by our employee (...) We regret lively the defamatory statements you are mentioning in your mail, and we cannot accept them by any means....» ([document 5](#)).

*This behaviour of FAWER is scandalous and irresponsible. In her letter, she is passing under silence the intervention of the nursing auxiliary and accomplice Aurore BARBE. One can presume that BARBE and ANKER did communicate with one another via mobile phones put at disposal by the CMS Renens Nord. If so, it would have been very easy for the Management to check on the monthly invoicing extracts of phone calls, whether there had occurred a phone contact, as reported by Jacques ROMANENS. Anyway, FAWER should have had interrogated Aurore BARBE separately, and should have compared their declarations with that one of the patient. She might have had organized as well two separate confrontations between Jacques ROMANENS and the two nurses. However, the complaints of Jacques ROMANENS remained inexistent for Mrs. FAWER. She did not even ever talk with him! **This is the behaviour of a partner in crime, and certainly not that one of a Manager of a socio-medical entity.***

On the basis of this complacency attestation, the responsible of the claim administration of the of the Health and Social Action Department Francine JECKER, Grand' Rue 3, 1162 St-Prex, did corroborate on Nov.1st 2011 the forged statement « that there has been no professional mistake committed by the nurse on which suspicions of mistreatment had rested», and this rag has been

countersigned by the cantonal physician Karim BOUBAKER ! ([document 9](#)). Up to date, BOUBAKER is hiding behind this wrong statement ([document 49](#)).

The Vaudois do have the right to know who is this Karim BOUBAKER : a man who is betraying his duties as a physician and as a government employee.

«The inquiry» of the «prosecutor» Pascal GILLIÉRON

The penal affair was allocated on September 12 2011 to the «prosecutor» Pascal GILLIÉRON ([document 30](#)), who terminated his «inquiry» 3 years and 7 months later with an Order of classification in favour of Augustine ANKER ([document 35](#)). One is instantly understanding that this Gentleman did not kill himself on the job.

The first witness who he questioned after 3 months of incubation on December 8 2011 was Mrs. Suzanne SCHAER ([document 6](#)). Two months later, his diary permitted him on February 17 2012 to listen to the witnessing of Nathalie BELLO – the nurse of the laboratories of BICHSEL who had trained the personnel of the CMS Renens on the use of the gastric probe ([document 7](#)), and as well the speech therapist of Jacques ROMANENS, Mrs.Séverine ISOARD ([document 8](#)).

Almost one year after the crime, GILLIÉRON interrogated finally Augustine ANKER «as a person called to provide information.» ([document 10](#)). She showed up sheltered with the Laundering certificate established by the government clerk Francine JECKER and countersigned by the cantonal physician Karim BOUBAKER ([document 9](#)).

It goes without saying that the declarations of Augustine ANKER are in direct contradiction to those of Jacques ROMANENS. She claimed particularly not to have been briefed not to administer the artificial nutrition liquid orally. She even repeated it. Extracts, of which the equivocal passages are printed in bold letters:

«When I arrived at 8 a.m. on June 17 for checking the conditions of Mr. ROMANENS, I realized that there had remained liquid in the nutritional bag. This bag was in the bedroom of Mr. ROMANENS. What concerns him, he had left already his bed and was in the kitchen, sitting in his Wheelchair. The gastric probe

had been already rinsed. When I realized that there had remained liquid in the bag, I suggested him to drink it. **I remember very well to have asked him first to taste the liquid.** So he did. **To my big astonishment, he said that it tasted not badly** (*One knows that this liquid has a repulsatory taste.*). **He drunk than the whole lot of the liquid, which I had poured into a glass.** There was no problem. He did not choke. **He did not seem to suffocate.** He did not protest. **What concerns me, I thought that there was no risk to proceed this way.** Actually, at that time, Mr. ROMANENS did feed himself during the daily hours with liquid food which he stocked in his refrigerator and which were as thick as the liquid of the probe, are even more liquid than that product. **I did thus not fair a possible choking.** I gave practically all the content of the probe to drink to Mr. ROMANENS. **According to my memory, I disposed of the rest** (*in contradiction with what she had said previously*). Answering to your question, I say not to have given the whole remaining quantity, for not overcharging the stomach of Mr. ROMANENS (*This is in contradiction with her previous statement !*). You let me know the description provided by Mr. ROMANENS in his complaint. I am extremely astonished. At no time I observed that Mr. ROMANENS felt terrorized, are just reluctant to drink the liquid. As I have told you, I did not observe him to have suffocated. **When I left at about 9 – 9.15 a.m,** (*How to explain that she has stayed 75 minutes, whereas usually, she stayed just during 20 to 30 minutes? – document 29*). Mr. ROMANENS was still in the kitchen. He prepared to lunch and to swallow the pharmaceuticals prepared by Mrs. SCHAER. He was perfectly calm.

A nursing auxiliary came to see Mr. ROMANENS during that morning for the toiletry of Mr. ROMANENS. **I ignore who was in charge.** You indicate that Mr. ROMANENS is specifying that it is a person prenamed Aurore. We do have an auxiliary with this first name at our service. I ignore her family name. Usually, if the auxiliary is observing a problem, she has to report it to me, and I notice the incidence on a diary. As you see, there does not exist an indication corresponding to such an event (*Aurore BARBE was reporting to her. She knew here thus perfectly, and that day, in opposition to the routine, BARBE had made no inscription at all in that diary – document 29*). I do not remember neither that an auxiliary would have come to see me. I point out that a diary of events is existing as well at the residence of the patient where the intervening staff is noting observations. You show me the documents 6/2, 6/3 and 6/4. That's it. For answering you, the auxiliary did go to the home of Mr. ROMANENS on that day of June 17 in the morning; shed did not phone me during her service hours.»

GILLIÉRON could have ordered retroactively the survey of the phone conversations recorded by the operator of the mobile phones of the two nurses. He did not do it intentionally. He never called BARBE for an interrogation, avoiding thus any contradiction.

It is as well surprising that the Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS did not have the idea to request to have Augustin ANKER put under investigation for preventing the collusion with her subordinate, Aurore BARBE. Or to have at least insisted to call the first one for a second interrogation, for provoking more contradictions in her declarations, since that Lawyer has not been available to assist to the interrogation of Mrs. ANKER on May 14, 2012.

When a Vaudois prosecutor wants to have an innocent condemned without evidences, he is putting him in pre-trial custody in isolation, harassing him day and night with interrogations up to the point where the client is depressing, having the objective to yield finally diverging versions, which unmask the person to be a liar. To repeat the interrogations moderately and at intervals, is without any doubt a valid tactic. There exists a good chance to collect exploitable contradictions of a guilty person. Why to hell did GILLIÉRON renounce in the present case to this approach, so dear to the Vaudois Magistrates?

The pretexts of Augustine ANKER, according to which she had ignored that one could not give to drink to this patient unthickened liquids are totally incredible. The whole staff of the CMS Renens Nord was aware that the very big risk of this patient were chokings. She is telling it herself:

«I remember as well that the whole staff of the CMS was querying very much the manner how to handle this patient. I remember to have spoken to the liaison nurse of the CHUV who voiced her doubts about the opportunity and the risks to send a patient back home on whom a gastric probe had been introduced. (...) Furthermore, he had to sleep with the upper part of his body elevated, in order to avoid chokings. I hand you out an extract of diary of the CMS in relation with this patient. As you will see, I noted this need on June 10 2011 after a phone contact with Mrs. DONZALLAZ, dietician at the CHUV.» ([document 10](#)).

She was as well informed about the instructions provided by the CHUV in this context ([document 2](#)). The sheer existence of the gastric probe could not let ANKER ignorant!

*Nonetheless, GILLIÉRON wrote to the CHUV for obtaining the confirmation that this patient was hospitalized subsequently to a pneumonia caused a few days earlier by the repeated broncho-aspirations provoked by ANKER ([doc. 11](#)). **The plaintiff had thus not fantasized.***

By letter of June 13 2012 the Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS requested that the head of the service MENH of the CHUV (the specialist in this matter) furnished a more detailed expertise about the consequences of broncho-aspirations ([document 14](#)). Having received no reaction whatsoever, this Lawyer lodged finally a complaint for denial of justice at the cantonal court ([document 18](#)). Invited to give an explanation about his laziness, GILLIÉRON did express himself in a way which is unmasking him ([document 19](#)). Quotations:

« The actors of the penal chain have to face an explosion of a number of certain crimes, especially concerning burglary and drug trafficking. (...) In these circumstances, the Prosecutors' Office must make a choice of priorities to dedicate to certain inquiries more sensitive on the level of the criminal politics. (...). The undersigning is estimating that the infringements reported by Jacques ROMANENS are belonging to the category whose treatment is less urgent. On the one hand, one can already exclude, considering the already administered evidences, a worrisome criminal determination with the denounced person... »

For GILLIÉRON, an attempt of assassination is ranging after infringements of financial assets! And he is not mentioning the evidences which he had missed to establish, by avoiding an inquiry deserving that designation.

«The undersigned estimates that the Prosecutors' office has not to mandate blindly any measure requested by the parties, but that it is up to this instance to measure the opportunity, before ordering them. In the present case, the request of the plaintiff, tending to have an psychiatric expertise carried out in a medico-social surrounding, is inevitably injuring the reputation of the concerned person (...) Considering the situation in which the Prosecutors' Office is today, the undersigned is estimating that the reproaches of inertia which are voiced against him are unfounded and **this because measures of inquiry had been indeed realized in this file.**»

The arrogance of this Magistrate is speaking, and Mister Prosecutor has been the guts to pretend that he has not been inactive. As a matter of fact, the Lawyer of the plaintiff had to relaunch GILLIÉRON by two dozens of messages. Examples: [document 12](#) – [document 14](#) – [document 15](#) – [document 16](#) – [document 17](#) – [document 24](#)

And the second Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS who had to intervene again in at least a dozen of occasions!

Not being impressed at all, GILLIÉRON continued to refuse to order the requested medical expertise ([document 20](#)).

The appeal for denial of justice was nonetheless accepted ([document 21](#)). GILLIÉRON, for not « ordering blindly any measure which could be requested by the parties» mandated the forensic physician of the canton of Vaud, and not a specialist in the subject, to provide a complacency expertise. See next chapter.

The Lady Lawyer of Augustine ANKER did suggest to GILLIÉRON le ([doc. 13](#)) to call as a witness the nurse Kelly QUINTON, who had allegedly administered care to Mr. ROMANENS from 6.32 to 6.52 p.m. on June 11 2011. This was an attempt to introduce a fake witness. Actually, it has been the nurse Laurence VILLARS who had given that care, and she had been shocked of what she has heard. Anyway, the Lawyer of Mr. ROMANENS had insisted for nothing to have this witness called to be heard ([document 15](#)). GILLIÉRON did not react in both cases.

*As indicated above, GILLIÉRON did issue his Order of classification in favour of Augustine ANKER on April 13 15, with the approval of the Attorney General, **Eric COTTIER** ([document 35](#)).*

The complacency expertise issued by the forensic physician Patrice MANGIN

Of course, GILLIÉRON did not follow the request of the Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS to mandate the Chief of the Otorhinolaryngology Service of the CHUV to establish a medical expertise in this case, although this should have been imperatively the choice. .

*Probably after having received orders by his boss, **Eric COTTIER**, he mandated the forensic physician of the Centre Universitaire Romand de Médecine Légale (CURML), Patrice MANGIN with this expertise ([doc. 23](#)). This is surprising, since MANGIN is basically a toxicologist, that is to say a layman concerning the illnesses of Jacques ROMANENS. One ignores in which branch the co-author of this «expertise», the Dr. Raquel VILARINO is specialized.*

*One has to know the ties existing between MANGIN and the Vaudois Prosecutors' Office. See [document 50](#). MANGIN has been the reliable accomplice of the Vaudois Prosecutors' Office, serving as a provider of complacency expertise to **Eric COTTIER**, which enabled latter to commit his judiciary crimes at the costs of François LÉGERET and Laurent SÉGALAT. See*

L'affaire Légeret – un assassin imaginaire. Jacques SECRETAN, Editor Mon Village, 2016

Une condamnation bâtie sur du sable – L'affaire Ségalat. Jacques SECRETAN, Editor Mon Village, 2015.

Suzanne SCHAER is presuming that MANGIN did not receive the complete medical file. As a matter of fact, the report of the CHUV of March 22 2011, describing the illnesses of which Mr. ROMANENS was suffering ([document 1](#)) is mentioned nowhere in the expertise of MANGIN. She did observe that the letter of the Lawyer of Mr. ROMANENS, dated September 6 2013, containing as enclosure said report of the CHUV had simply vanished from the penal file ([document 27](#)).

Whatsoever, MANGIN did conform to the expectations of his sponsor, GILLIÉRON ([document 25](#)). The greatest loophole in this rag paper is the fact that MANGIN is simply overshadowing the liquidation attempt experienced by Jacques ROMANENS, for concentrating on the question about the impact of choking. The angel of dead ANKER was sure that her victim heavily disabled and vulnerable would not survive her attempt. However, Jacques ROMANENS did survive and was able to witness about what had happened to him. Passing this fact under silence is evidencing the partiality of MANGIN.

MANGIN quibbled in detail about the daily chokings of the patient. Obviously, for him choking is the same thing as broncho-aspiration. This is wrong, as Suzanne SCHAER is explaining it in one of her letters to the Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS ([document 29](#)). Quotation:

« Concerning the chokings.

On the DVD which I did hand over to you, Jacques is choking because of a coffee insufficiently thickened.

You will observe that the first swallowing is always prudent in order to test the thickening and the temperature of the liquid. Inevitably, this is followed by an unpleasant coughing and he needs a while to start breathing again. It is harrowing. It is this type of benign choking that the procedure is ruminating. They do not have any consequence.

In February, Jacques has made his first serious choking, an «acute dyspnea», due to his dysphagia. It was extremely serious, because occurring non expected.

It is at that time that the doctors have diagnosed his pathology. Jacques could have died not because of pneumonia, but by suffocation! Since that event, he became prudent.

I suspect strongly that this event has inspired to the members of the CMS the disastrous scenario. They may have imagined that this would be easy.»

In his report, Dr. Gontran BLANC, physician in charge of the treatment of Jacques ROMANENS did corroborate on December 11 2013 that his patient did not succumb to serious choking, causing broncho-aspiration and pneumonia as a consequence, apart that one of February 2011, and the reiterated broncho-aspirations provoked by ANKER on June 17 2011 ([document 32](#)). On that date, BLANC is pointing out :

«With two years of distance looking back, we can analyse the evolution of the chokings with a greater precision.

During this period of two years, Mr ROMANENS has not presented new pneumonias on broncho-aspiration. It is thus clear that Mr ROMANENS knows to adapt his manners of eating for avoiding dangerous events. (...) **Considering the quoted facts (which are clear, precise and accepted by anybody), the facts which had occurred on June 17 2011 are of an exceptional nature and totally unusual.** »

The conclusions of MANGIN, according to which there would not exist a link «in a formal manner» between «the episode of June 17 2011 and the diagnosed pneumonia on June 23 2011» are thus dead wrong ([document 25](#) , point 6).

*In June 2011, Jacques ROMANENS has had definitely only broncho-aspirations on June 17. The following day, he had already fever and he was hospitalized because of pneumonia caused by the repeated broncho-aspirations of June 17 five days later, on June 22 2011. **This pneumonia was therefore caused without any doubt by those broncho-aspirations provoked by ANKER.***

For MANGIN to have forced Jacques ROMANENS, suffering of serious problems of deglutination troubles, to take in orally the unthickened liquid of the artificial nutrition «was constituting a non-observation of the provided instructions in this particular case, rather than a violation of the rules of the state or the art ». This is clearly a complacency attestation ordered by the Prosecutors' Office. A stupidity pronounced by a scholar.

Evidently, the Lawyer of the plaintiff did criticize vigorously this «expertise» ([document 27](#)). With the only result that a «complement to the expertise», added volume to these silly allegations ([document 33](#)).

By this complacency expertise, MANGIN and his co-author descended to become partners in crime of potential murderers.

The order of classification PE11.015201-PGN of April 13 2015

GILLIÉRON was exploiting with ease the expertise / complement of expertise of obvious futility of MANGIN for dismissing the plaintiff.

The most daring lie was presented with the formula:

«No element in this file is speaking in favour of a deliberate act from the part of any member of the staff responsible for the care at the residence of the plaintiff, for attempting to his life. » ([document 35](#)).

Just prior to this statement, GILLIÉRON mentions the key evidence of the file, i.e. the DVD submitted by the plaintiff and entitled « Jacques ROMANENS – June 17 2011 – the day where everything has been balanced. 1 h our and 45 minutes of nightmare ! ». It seems that GILLIÉRON did not make the effort to listen to this historic witnessing, otherwise, he could not have lied as roughly.

*It is established by the reports of the physicians of the CHUV ([document 3](#) and [document 11](#)) as well as by the attestation of Dr. G. BLANC, physician in charge of Mr. ROMANENS ([document 32](#)) that the pneumonia of the plaintiff has been caused by the broncho-aspirations suffered on June 17 2011. On February 13 2011 Jacques ROMANENS almost died subsequently to **a single broncho-aspiration** of suffocation. Suzanne SCHAEER has calculated that half a litre of*

*Novasource is representing the equivalent of 30 average swallowing = 30 broncho-aspirations (document 22). «The events» in the morning of June 17 2011 were equivalent to waterboarding (simulation of drowning), method of torture administered by the secret services of the US to their prisoners in Guantanamo under the BUSH administration. It is absolutely sure that this was a horrible scene. It is unconceivable that the two nurses, Augustine ANKER and Aurore BARBE did remark absolutely nothing abnormal. Let's remind that Augustine ANKER has admitted to have made swallow to Jacques ROMANENS the rest of liquid which had remained in the bag. The sufferings of their victim must have manifested with full violence. The first equivocal sentence of May 14, 2012 pronounced in front of GILLIÉRON on May 14 2012 «**He did not seem to suffocate.**» is a manoeuvre. A well advised interrogator would have cornered her immediately. He would have asked her, how it was possible that she had not observed the sufferance of his victim exposed to reiterated and proven broncho-aspirations.*

It is a miracle that Jacques ROMANENS did survive to this torture for being able to witness how the two angels of dead Augustine ANKER and Aurore BARBE, covered by the cantonal physician Karim BOUBAKER and his surrounding had attempted to assassinate him.

ANKER never expressed the slightest sign of regret. In the contrary, questioned on the phone by Suzanne SCHAEER three days after the attempt, who did have the idea to have swallowed the liquid of the gastric probe, ANKER had an access of laughter, admitting that she had done it. (document 6). One has to repeat the witnessing of Jacques ROMANENS: « ... I was choking. The woman watched me peacefully, that picture is sticking in my mind, observing me to suffocate, and thereafter she left without others, ... » - It would be in the public interest to know the past of this woman of Austrian origin. Her self-assurance to act in her way is legitimating us to the suspicion that this person of a very peculiar character is used to liquidate old vulnerable persons without defence. The fact that Jacques ROMANENS has survived and could witness is benefitting to the whole of our society.

The aim of this order of classification in favour of this nurse of dead was the need for the Nomenclature to avoid the risk of a public debate during a trial.

The copy / paste of the superior instances

The superior instances are confirming usually the decisions of the first judges / prosecutors by copying / pasting. The exceptions are very scarce, as the recent

*invalidation of the condemnation by the Magistrates of Geneva of Erwin SPERISEN without any evidence by the Federal Court, after the nightmare of 5 years useless incarceration. This does have the purpose to present the nice picture and to feed the illusion of the public opinion that the judiciary system was working almost perfectly. The reputation of the Federal Court is especially cared by the Mass Media, manipulated by the hidden powers. Actually, the former President of the Federal Court 2013 – 2016, **Gilbert KOLLY** praised before the annual meeting of the Swiss Lawyers on June 15 2017 in Lucerne that it was possible to compress the rate of acceptance of the recourses submitted to the court down to 2.3 % at the end of his Presidency. See page 53 of the publication:*

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2017-06-21_ohchr-e.pdf

The time has come to wake up the Swiss public opinion to discover this scandal, that not less than at least 80 % of the 97.7 % defeated applicants in the year 2016 were embezzled and stigmatized as querulous by our federal «Judges».

*The recourse of the Lawyer of Jacques ROMANENS ([document 36](#)) was swept away on July 6 2015 by the Vaudois cantonal «Judges», well known for their heavy past – **Bernard ABRECHT**, **Joël KRIEGER** and Guillaume PERROT ([document 37](#)). In their decision of 12 pages of copying / pasting, they emphasized that the Order of classification has been approved by the Attorney General **Eric COTTIER**. The reader must know that **ABRECHT** and **KRIEGER** are identified as Members of the Freemasonic plot in the Canton of Vaud, managed by the same **COTTIER**. PERROT, cantonal Judge only since December 2012 is figuring as well already with 4 negative references in our data base.*

By rejecting this recourse, the cantonal «Judges» have violated the principle in dubio pro duriore, that is to say that in the stage of the inquiry level of a prosecutor, the principle in dubio pro reo may not be applied, and the accused must be sent imperatively before a court. In the present case, there is no res iudicata (enforcable decision), which is the great loophole in this affair.

In addition, the plaintiff ROMANENS did not have the right of a public and fair trial. This is not compatible with article 6 of the European Convention of Human Rights.

*On September 4 2015 a recourse has been addressed to the Federal Court ([document 38](#)). By ATF 6B_856/2015 of September 16 2015, it was declared inadmissible ([document 39](#)). One single federal «Judge» had decided: **Christian DENYS**. DENYS has demonstrated in this case his innovating abilities in the matter of jurisprudence. According to **DENYS** the Lawyer of the applicant had omitted to present the civil conclusions. Let's quote him: « ... the jurisprudence*

is aimed to be restrictive and strict, in that way, that the Federal Court is not entering into the matter if it does not appear sufficiently precise from the motivation of the recourse that the above mentioned conditions are met, at least if one cannot deduct it directly and without ambiguity, considering notably the alleged infringements. »

The author of these lines is practically applying in monthly intervals to the Federal Court, and he has a large experience of being dismissed by this instance. However, he was so far never dismissed by such a scatter-brained motivation. Stating that the recourse is inadmissible has the great advantage that one does not need do motivate the abusive decision.

*It is not at all surprising that the Vaudois **DENYS** joined to cover up the manoeuvres of his Vaudois pairs: Actually, **DENYS** covers Vaudois corruption affairs in series. See:*

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2017-06-21_ohchr-e.pdf

This time, he has covered a potential murderer for supporting his Vaudois accomplices.

*Subsequently, ROMANENS called in an expert, the French physician, Yvon LESEC, for establishing a new medical expertise ([document 40](#)). Occurred the passing away of Jacques ROMANENS on November 1st 2015. Suzanne SCHAER, auditioned with the procuration of the sons who she had had with Jacques ROMANENS requested a revision ([document 43](#)), yielding a refusal from the «Prosecutor» Laurent MAYE ([document 46](#)). Suzanne SCHAER, deceived by the inefficiency of the Lawyers of her husband did appeal herself to the Vaudois cantonal court ([document 47](#)), and she was dismissed by the Vaudois cantonal «Judges» Christophe MAILLARD, **Bernard ABRECHT** and Guillaume PERROT by decision of April 27 2017 by the wacky motivation that the medical expertise LESEC did «contain no new element, justifying the reopening of the preliminary procedure ... » ([document 48](#)). **ABRECHT** and **PERROT** did not even have the decency to challenge themselves spontaneously, having treated this procedure already before.*

The affaire remains without enforcable decision.

The octopus does have its tentacles everywhere in the Vaudois administration

It has been established that the Vaudois judiciary system is gangrenous from A to Z. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2017-06-21_ohchr-e.pdf

But the octopus does have its tentacles everywhere in the Vaudois administration.

Police :

Jacques ANTENEN is the Commander of the Vaudois Gendarmerie and simultaneously Freemason, as his underling Philippe DESARZENS, commander of the Police Région de Morges. On April 5 2017 they had our mobile publicity column to disappear, denouncing the economic crime in organized bands of the Freemasonry during the election campaign in April / May 2017 in this Canton.



Mobile publicity column, which did disappear on April 5, 2017

The same day, we filed a complaint. Impossible to obtain the slightest reply from the Police in Morges. Subsequently to the complaint of the owner of the vehicles for theft, the insurance company was informed 3 months later that this mobile publicity column was kept in a deposit of the Police of Morges. Details see:

www.worldcorruption.info/elections.htm

Because of these facts, the manipulators having the control of the Vaudois Police could prohibit the Freedom of expression and hinder the free political debates during the election campaign 2017. The value of these elections is thus zero; the

government and the Members of the Vaudois Parliament actually in place do not have the necessary legitimation.

Enforcement Offices

During the misappropriation of the assets of the family BURDET, beginning of the years 2000, the Officer of the Enforcement Office in Yverdon-les-Bains, Gilbert LAURENT did play an illegal game: www.worldcorruption.info/burdet.htm As well, the Officer of the Enforcement Office Lavaux-Oron, Christian TSCHANZ is presently betraying his duties at the costs of Michèle HERZOG.

Taxation Offices

The State Councillor Pascal BROULIS did manage to eradicate a debt of some 15 Billion Swiss Francs during his 15 years in the position as Treasurer of this Canton, by pressing the modest tax payers like lemons, while providing taxation indulgences to the Oligarchs. The clerks of his Department are supporting these manoeuvres. See:

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-12-10_broulis-e.pdf

Having been informed by registered mail and handing out this denunciation personally to BROULIS, he has never contested the correctness of the denouncing, and that for evident reasons.

Forensic physician and cantonal physician, clerks at the Department of Health and Social Action

See above.

One day, one will have to complete the picture by analysing the abuses of Notaries for example. Certainly, we know just a fraction of the untouchable government clerks / auxiliaries under influences. But what we did discover already is well enough to extrapolate that the hidden Mafia has taken care to occupy all key positions in the Canton with their subjects.

The implication of the Vaudois politicians

*Within the College of the Vaudois government, you find two radical-liberal blackmailers – **Philippe LEUBA** and Béatrice DE QUATTRO. See :*

www.worldcorruption.info/elections.htm

The corruption is in the first place the speciality of the right wing parties, the radical-liberals, the UDC and the Green-liberals. However, the politicians of the left Vaudois are well informed about concrete cases of corruption and they play to be ignorant.

The socialist State Councillor Pierre-Yves MAILLARD has been the well informed leader of the trade union UNIA at the time. He must certainly have had indications of the money Laundry of the clan MARCOS from the Philippines, realized by over invoicing organized by the company BAUMGARTNER Papiers SA at Crissier (has disappeared in the meantime). The Vaudois Cantonal Bank, where Pascal BROULIS has acted as Manager had taken care of those transactions. No doubt, the Manager BROULIS had been aware of nothing.

*This did not hinder Pierre-Yves MAILLARD to engage in a political alliance without limits, tolerating the manoeuvres of the right parties without the slightest reaction. As a consequence, the socialist judiciary Magistrates, are realizing the instructions of their Vaudois socialist party, where the socialist Pierre-Yves MAILLARD and the radical Pascal BROULIS are intimate partners within the actual Vaudois government. For this reason, the leftish Magistrates cover as well the corruption in this Canton and are actively participating to repress the freedom of expression, by violating the fundamental human rights, for serving the interests of their political party. The left is cohabiting with the right in a perverted way in the Canton of Vaud. The Judges of the left are closing their eyes and let pass the cheatings of their colleagues of the right parties. As a counterpart, the socialist party is obtaining on the political level concessions in favour of their electors. **This is happening at the costs of the judiciary victims, by betraying the ideals of the party.***

The Vaudois politicians have been informed about the theft of our mobile publicity column, denouncing the Freemasonic plot, i.e. the evident repression of freedom of expression during the Vaudois election campaign 2017. They fainted to ignore it.

The State Councillor of the Green party, Béatrice MÉTRAUX, former court clerk, is as well an opportunist, and responsible of the illegal manoeuvres of her subordinated government employees. In her quality as President of the Chamber of the Notaries, she did stifle illegal actions of a notary, denounced by Michèle HERZOG.

In the present case, the Vaudois State Councillors MAILLARD and MÉTRAUX were informed about the attempt of liquidation of Jacques ROMANENS. See [document 31](#) – [document 44](#) – [document 45](#)

Both did barricade themselves behind the chimerical separation of powers, and made themselves partners in crime of an assassination attempt at the costs of Jacques ROMANENS, and by betraying their political ideals. They are prostituting themselves and hanging on to a questionable participation of power.

By the legal advice of the Professor Thierry Tanquerel, University of Geneva of May 9 2014, the Commission de Haute Surveillance du Tribunal Cantonal (CHSTC) has been castrated, respectively «tanquerelyzed». The exchange of correspondence between Suzanne SCHAEER and the President of the CHSTC, Régis COURDESSE is the evidence. See [document 41](#) and [document 42](#). The abolition of this commission would be a saving for the tax payers. For the political debate this commission is totally useless, because they are just moving paper, and not applying the slightest control.

The whole lot is embedded by a censorship of the Internet ordered by the Vaudois Prosecutors' Office

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-10-11_cottier_censure-f.pdf , and the strict silence imposed to the Mass Media, for not reporting about the most serious dysfunctioning of the judiciary system. See :

www.worldcorruption.info/index_htm_files/gu_2016-09-05_de_weck_rts-e.pdf

The censorship ordered to the poor devils of journalists is as strict, that they do not even have the right to have the fun to drag the Swiss dissidents through the mud as in the past. We do not any longer exist for the Mass Media.

Viel Feind, viel Ehr. Georg VON FRUNDSBERG

GU/28.07.17